EXHIBIT 100

ſ	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
4	BOSTON DIVISION
3	
4	x
5	STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSIONS, INC.,
6	Plaintiff,
7	Vs. Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-14176
8	PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE (HARVARD CORPORATION);
9	and THE HONORABLE AND REVEREND THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS,
10	
11	Defendants.
12	x
13	- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY -
14	DEPOSITION OF CATHERINE DREW
15	GILPIN FAUST, a witness called by the
16	Plaintiff, taken pursuant to the
17	applicable provisions of the Federal
18	Rules of Civil Procedure, before James A.
19	Scally, RMR, CRR, a Notary Public in and
20	for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at
21	Harvard University, Massachusetts Hall,
22	Cambridge, Massachusetts, on Friday,
23	March 10, 2017, commencing at 8:52 a.m.
24	

1	Q. Do you know whether it's been 10 or 20 years?
2	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
3	A. It's been for a considerable time before I
4	became president.
5	Q. Are you familiar with the Bakke litigation?
6	A. I am.
7	Q. Was Harvard using race at the time of the
8	Bakke litigation?
9	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
10	A. Yes, it was.
11	Q. Do you know whether Harvard was using race as
12	an admissions factor in the 1920s?
13	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
14	A. I don't know.
15	Q. Do you know why Harvard began using race?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. Since the Bakke litigation, has the reasons
18	Harvard uses race changed?
19	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
20	A. Harvard has consistently through that time
21	regarded race as one aspect in our effort to have an
22	admissions policy that is holistic in its assessment
23	of students to understand that what makes for a
24	successful undergraduate experience is a group of

Catherine Drew Gilpin Faust - March 10, 2017 Highly Confidential - For Attorneys Eyes Only

students who are diverse on a variety of realms so that they play as important a part in educating one another through their experience together as we play in what we offer them within a classroom.

Q. But I guess my question is: Have the reasons that you're using race changed since the Bakke litigation?

MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.

- A. That's a question that's impossible to answer because times have changed; people have changed. The Supreme Court has issued various statements about the nature of what is required of us. And so it's -- so much has changed that it's hard to say that somebody who operated in 1977 is operating with exactly the same set of presumptions that somebody who's operating in 2017 is operating with, so.
- Q. Is Harvard's use of race tied to an interest in obtaining a critical mass of minority students on campus?

MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.

A. We approach our admissions process looking at each individual student, assessing that student and that student's potential contribution. So what we have in mind is the pathway to a class via individual

1	record.
2	Q. Do you know how the do you know whether
3	the University of California system uses a top
4	percentage plan for admissions?
5	A. I believe it does. I'm not positive.
6	Q. Do you know do you know whether there are
7	any colleges that employ a holistic admissions
8	process but simply do not take race into account as
9	one of the factors in the holistic admissions
10	process?
11	A. I'm not aware.
12	Q. Do you know whether that would be possible?
13	A. I do not.
14	Q. Can you say it wouldn't be possible?
15	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
16	A. It would be impossible because a holistic
17	consideration of a student would require taking race
18	into account. So
19	Q. But why?
20	A. The proposal you just made is internally
21	self-contradictory.
22	Q. Let me ask you this: Does a holistic
23	admissions process have to take somebody's eye color
24	into account?

1	Q. All right. What kinds of conversations about
2	this topic have you had with students?
3	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
4	A. Students have expressed concern about
5	representation of different groups at different
6	times. Recently there's I've had a number of
7	students express to me concern about the very small
8	representation of Native Americans in our student
9	body. So that's been one recent example of this.
10	Q. Can you recall other examples, discussions
11	about the representation of certain groups within the
12	Harvard student body?
13	A. I had a meeting last year with Latinx
14	students who were concerned about the representation
15	of Latina and Latino students.
16	Q. And what do you understand "Latinx" to mean?
17	A. It's just a gender-free way of talking
18	Latino/Latina.
19	Q. And do you understand there to be a
20	distinction between a student of Hispanic descent and
21	a student of Latinx descent?
22	A. I think different students have different
23	perspectives on that, and they characterized
24	themselves as Latinx in the discussion to which I'm

1	referring.
2	Q. Do you know whether Harvard does any
3	reporting of students by using the Latinx
4	designation?
5	A. I don't know exactly what designations we
6	we use.
7	Q. Okay. Besides the Native American and Latinx
8	examples you just used, do you recall any other
9	discussions with students regarding representation
10	within the student body by racial group?
11	A. In other words, numbers of students within
12	the student body?
13	Q. Correct.
14	A. Not in the college, no.
15	Q. Okay. What did you tell the students who
16	raised these concerns with you?
17	A. They were part of more general discussions
18	about well, with the Latino students, it was a
19	more general conversation about issues that I
20	would designate issues of inclusion and belonging
21	that included a dimension of demographic presence.
22	And so I listened to their concerns.
23	With the issue of Native American students,
24	it's it's an area in which the students alleged

Harvard had had an early commitment in the 17th 1 2 century and had abandoned its commitment in the years since, and that was the nature of that conversation. 3 4 I tend to be a listener in these conversations. 5 Do you recall telling either groups of these 6 0. 7 students any action that Harvard would take to address their concerns? 8 MS. ELLSWORTH: 9 Objection. 10 I saw my role as a listener. 11 Did you tell either of these groups of Q. students that Harvard's admissions process depends 12 13 upon individual considerations and that the group 14 representation is simply not a goal of the admissions 15 process? MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection. 16 17 I don't recall having that discussion with Α. 18 either group. Did you tell them that Harvard would in fact 19 be mindful of their concern about the overall 20 21 representation of particular groups in its admissions 22 process? I don't recall exactly what I said to them. 23

24

I was mostly listening.

- Were these meetings in person, or was there 1 Ο. 2 any written element to these discussions? 3 They were meetings in person. I don't recall 4 if there was a follow-up letter or if there was any written aspect. 5 With respect to discussions about 6 0. 7 representation of Harvard within racial groups with faculty members, what do you remember about those 8 9 discussions? 10 A number of faculty recently have been very 11 concerned about the very small numbers of Native Americans. 12 Other than discussions about Native American 13 Ο. 14 representation, do you remember any other discussions 15 with faculty members about representation of concerned groups? 16 17 In the college, I don't. Α. 18 And what do you recall telling the faculty 19 members with respect to their concerns about the 20 numbers of representation of Native Americans? 21 A. I recall listening to their concerns and ...

 - Do you recall -- do you recall telling them whether Harvard would take any action on their concerns?

22

23

1	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
2	A. I don't recall.
3	Q. Do you remember saying anything at all?
4	A. I recall listening.
5	Q. So you don't remember what, if anything, you
6	said?
7	A. I don't.
8	Q. And the same with the students: You don't
9	remember what, if anything, you said to them?
10	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
11	A. I don't recall.
12	Q. What about concerns that staff members have
13	raised with you regarding the representation of
14	certain groups on campus?
15	A. We have a Harvard Native American program and
16	the staff member who runs that has expressed concerns
17	also about Native Americans.
18	Q. And what what is this Native American
19	program?
20	A. It's a program that seeks to work around
21	academic resources related to Native American
22	studies, provide programming around issues related to
23	Native American studies.
24	Q. It's not a faculty role?

- 1 Α. There's a director who is not a faculty 2 person. 3 And this is the person who had the 0. 4 conversation --5 Α. Yes. Q. -- with you about this issue? 6 7 What do you -- do you recall -- strike that. What do you remember about this conversation 8 9 regarding the representation of students on campus? I assume it was Native American representation? 10 11 A. Uh-huh. What was his concern or her concern? 12 0. 13 MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection. 14 Α. There's been a good bit of discussion in the 15 Faculty of Arts & Sciences in the past couple of years about this question and also about the academic 16 17 resources devoted to Native American studies. 18 conversation has been mostly at that level. have been party to discussions that really were 19 centered elsewhere and that have been a focus of some 20 21 decision-making and concern about academic resources 22 in the Faculty of Arts & Sciences. 23
 - Q. And what, if anything, do you recall saying in response to these concerns?

1	A. The concern about having Native American
2	history well represented within history seemed to me
3	a reasonable concern, and I agreed to meet with a
4	candidate who was being recruited as a professor in
5	Native American studies.
6	Q. What about the concerns with respect to the
7	representation of Native Americans within the study
8	body?
9	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
10	A. I've taken no role in relationship to that.
11	Q. Do you remember saying anything in response
12	to those concerns?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Who who is the staff member that you're
15	referring to, the name?
16	A. I think her name
17	Q. I'm sorry?
18	A. I shouldn't say. I will get the name wrong.
19	Q. Okay. Do you know what the title is?
20	A. Director of the Harvard University Native
21	American program.
22	Q. Do you remember the names of the faculty
23	members who had the discussions that we referred to a
24	few moments ago?

1	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
2	A. There are applicants who don't provide us
3	with that information, as we discussed earlier, and
4	we do our best to understand those individuals apart
5	from that information.
6	Q. And it's possible to make an admissions
7	decision without that information?
8	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
9	Q. Isn't it?
10	A. We have less understanding than we would if
11	we had the additional information.
12	Q. But you still make the decision; right?
13	A. There's a dimension of understanding that is
14	diminished.
15	Q. It's diminished, but it does not prevent you
16	from making a call?
17	A. As I understand it, we then make the call.
18	MR. STRAWBRIDGE: Now's a good
19	time for a break.
20	MS. ELLSWORTH: Great. Thank you.
21	(Recess: 11:13 a.m. to 11:24 a.m.)
22	BY MR. STRAWBRIDGE:
23	Q. President Faust, are there occasions since
24	you've been president of the university that you've

1	been made aware of allegations that the admissions
2	process discriminates against Asian-Americans?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. And what are those occasions?
5	A. They've been in connection with this lawsuit.
6	Q. This lawsuit. Apart from this lawsuit, have
7	you had occasion to receive or otherwise learn of
8	allegations that the admission process discriminates
9	against Asian-Americans?
10	MS. ELLSWORTH: And I'll just
11	remind the witness not to disclose any
12	conversations with counsel. To the
13	extent you can answer the question, go
14	ahead.
15	A. The Overseers election with the allegations
16	of at least one of the candidates.
17	Q. Candidate Ron Unz?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. Besides that, the allegations raised by Mr.
20	Unz, have you otherwise learned of any allegations of
21	discrimination against Asian-Americans to the
22	admissions process?
23	MS. ELLSWORTH: The same objection
24	and reminder.

1	Go ahead.
2	A. I receive mail from disgruntled people who've
3	been rejected, and so there may have been examples of
4	this complaint in that mail.
5	Q. When you received those emails, what do you
6	do with them?
7	A. They are handled by my correspondence team.
8	There's usually a polite response.
9	Q. And in that polite response, is there any
10	reference to the actual merits of the allegations?
11	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
12	A. Not usually, no.
13	Q. Do you just ignore the allegations?
14	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
15	A. Letters that come after the admissions
16	process are not usually ones that we pay a great deal
17	of specific attention to.
18	Q. In some cases, a very short polite response
19	will be all that goes out?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you ever modify the response based upon a
22	particular history or identity of the complainant?
23	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
24	If you can answer the question, go

ahead. 1 2 Oh, I don't recall doing so. Α. Other than these, you know, occasional 3 0. 4 correspondence from individuals, Mr. Unz's campaign, this lawsuit, do you recall learning of any other 5 allegations of discrimination against Asian-Americans 6 7 in the admissions process? MS. ELLSWORTH: Same objection and 8 9 reminder about counsel, but go ahead. 10 THE WITNESS: Right. 11 I recall a number of years ago, prior to a meeting of the -- a reunion of the Asian-American 12 alumni association, having mentioned to me that there 13 14 had been a Department of Education investigation of 15 such allegations that had found no foundation for 16 them. 17 Do you remember when that Department of 18 Education investigation took place? It was before my presidency. 19 A. 20 Does sometime in the late 1980s sound right? Q. 21 A. I don't know. 22 And who mentioned that to you before the Q.

meeting of the Asian-American alumni association?

I don't recall.

23

24

Α.

115

	Highly Confidential - For Attorneys Eyes Only	
1	Q. Do you remember what what year this	
2	meeting was?	
3	A. I don't recall.	
4	Q. Do you know if it was in the last couple of	
5	years or older than that?	
6	A. It's older than that.	
7	Q. And was it somebody on your staff who	
8	mentioned it or somebody who was attending the	
9	meeting?	
10	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.	
11	A. I don't recall.	
12	Q. Do you remember any other occasions of	
13	learning of allegations of Asian-American	
14	discrimination?	
15	MS. ELLSWORTH: Same objection and	
16	reminder.	
17	A. I don't recall.	
18	Q. Do you recall ever learning about an	
19	investigation by the Office of Civil Rights of the	
20	Department of Education in 2012 regarding	
21	discrimination against an applicant based on status	
22	as an Asian-American?	
23	MS. ELLSWORTH: And, again, just a	

reminder to the witness not to disclose

1	conversations with counsel. If you can
2	answer the question otherwise, go
3	ahead.
4	A. I don't recall.
5	Q. Are you normally involved are you normally
6	informed of investigations by the Department of
7	Education of Harvard?
8	A. Not necessarily.
9	Q. Who who would handle that?
10	A. Office of the General Counsel.
11	Q. Does Harvard have a department that's
12	responsible for compliance with federal laws?
13	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
14	A. It has a variety of offices or of groups that
15	are responsible. We have a whole research compliance
16	operation, for example.
17	Q. Okay. And does Harvard have have people
18	specifically dedicated to ensuring compliance with,
19	for example, Title IX of the education code of the
20	United States?
21	A. Yes.
22	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection and just
23	a reminder on communications with
24	counsel.

on the 2100 applicants who they're modelling to 1 2 determine were the most likely to be admitted; correct? 3 4 Α. That is correct. 5 Q. All right. And they were examining the resulting demographics and admit rates by ethnicity. 6 7 Α. Uh-huh.

- Q. Right? It's a preliminary, and it's for discussion, down at the bottom there?
- 10 A. Yes.

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So the next page, the "Models Used," do you understand this to be describing sort of the various increment --
- 14 A. Oh, I just -- go ahead. Finish.
- Q. Please.
 - A. I'm not a statistician. I have no idea whether this strategy is a good one, whether they've used the right variables, whether they've used the right statistical tools. So I would say this is an exercise. I would not give it more credibility than being an exercise until I had someone -- they say it's preliminary, for discussion. I would like to hear the results of broad discussion about what exactly they've undertaken and the validity.

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. It is.
4	Q. What are the distinctions between those two
5	efforts?
6	A. Well, there are several distinctions. One
7	was looking at the question of diversity and the
8	logic of diversity, and it was a faculty of arts and
9	sciences committee. The current task force on
10	inclusion and belonging is university-wide, and it's
11	meant to make not just a statement but to make
12	recommendations about the dimensions of the Harvard
13	experience that could be changed or improved or
14	transformed in order to make sure that the variety of
15	individuals who are part of our community all feel
16	full to be they are full members of the
17	community.
18	Q. Is any part of that task force designed to
19	address concerns that Harvard's admissions process

disadvantages Asian-Americans?

20

21

22

23

- It is not specifically designed to address that question.
- Do you think that concerns that Harvard's admissions process disadvantages Asian-Americans need

1	to be addressed?
2	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
3	A. No, I don't.
4	Q. Why not?
5	A. Because I don't think that Harvard admissions
6	disadvantages Asian-Americans.
7	Q. And, in fact, you're so confident in that
8	that you don't think the topic needs to be addressed?
9	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
10	A. It's the committee is meant to address the
11	issues that are raised in the course of its
12	consultations with individuals around the campus, and
13	that will determine the specific nature of its
14	actions.
15	Q. I mean your email here
16	MS. ELLSWORTH: Hold on one
17	second.
18	Are you finished with your answer?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	Q. Your email here said, you know, you
21	acknowledged that of course black students are
22	integral to Harvard. You didn't doubt that as a
23	factual matter, but you still felt the need to
24	address it. Why isn't that true with respect to

concerns that Asian-Americans are disadvantaged in 1 2 the admissions process? MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection. 3 4 Α. I don't understand the logic of your 5 question. My point is I don't -- do you think that the 6 7 response depends on whether you factually agree with the concern, or is the existence of the concern 8 9 worthy of a response? 10 MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection. 11 The concern about Asians being disadvantaged Α. in the admissions process is being raised most 12 13 vehemently by you and your colleagues. It's not an 14 issue that gets raised with me on a daily basis, or 15 even a weekly or monthly basis, by students here at 16 the university. 17 Do you believe that Students for Fair 18 Admissions represents the interests of Asian-Americans? 19 20 MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection. 21 Α. I have no idea what it represents. 22 Do you have any reason to doubt that the membership of the Students for Fair Admissions 23

24

includes Asian-Americans?

1	Q. Do you know whether those assessments have
2	been related to you as the part of any investigation
3	into the possible benefits of Harvard's admission
4	policies?
5	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
6	A. I've never heard them in that context.
7	Q. Do you think it's an idea that deserves some
8	study?
9	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
10	A. My understanding of the idea is that it has
11	been entirely discredited.
12	Q. Entirely discredited. And that's based on
13	what other people have told you?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. You didn't need to do your own investigation?
16	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection.
17	A. No, I didn't.
18	Q. I mean we talked earlier about the history of
19	Jewish discrimination, and you were very resistant to
20	taking someone else's word for what had happened at
21	Harvard.
22	MS. ELLSWORTH: Objection to the
23	characterization.
24	A. 97 percent of our students graduate.

Highly Confidential - For Attorneys Eyes Only

1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK, SS.
2	
3	I, JAMES A. SCALLY, RMR, CRR, a
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that
5	there came before me on the 10th day of March, 2017, at 8:52 a.m., the person hereinbefore named,
6	CATHERINE DREW GILPIN FAUST, who provided satisfactory evidence of identification as prescribed
7	by Executive Order 455 (03-13) issued by the Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, was by me duly
8	sworn to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth of her knowledge concerning the matters in
9	controversy in this cause; that she was thereupon examined upon her oath, and her examination reduced
10	to typewriting under my direction; and that this is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to
11 12	the best of my ability. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
13	by, any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
14	employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.
15	
16	My Commission Expires: April 8, 2022
17	
18	
19 20	James A. Scally, RMR, CRR
21	CSR/Notary Public
22	
23	
24	